Τ	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE TASK FORCE VIRTUAL MEETING
7	January 27, 2022
8	Held via Webex
9	PRESENT:
10	Hon. Anna Barbara Hantz Marconi,
11	Associate Justice, New Hampshire Supreme Court
12	Hon. Susan Carbon, Circuit Court Judge
13	Hon. John Yazinski, Circuit Court Judge
14	Merrill Beauchamp, Director, Victim &
15	Witness Program
16	Kathy Beebe, Executive Director, Haven NH
17	Steven Endres, Assistant County Attorney,
18	Merrimack County
19	Martha Ann Hornick, Grafton County
20	Attorney
21	Mary Krueger, Attorney, NHLA
22	Lynda Ruel, Director, Office of
23	Victim/Witness Assistance, NH DOJ
24	Amanda Grady Sexton, Director of Public
25	Affairs, New Hampshire Coalition



Τ	Jon Strasburger, New Hampshire
2	Association of Criminal Defense Attorneys
3	Patricia LaFrance, Attorney, The Black
4	Law Group
5	Betsy Paine, Attorney, CASA NH
6	Pam Dodge, NHBA DOVE Program & 603 Lega
7	Sarah Freeman, Circuit Court
8	Administrator
9	Jean Kilham, Manager, NHJB Domestic
LO	Violence Program
L1	Anne Zinkin, NHSC Supervisory Law Clerk
L2	
L3	
L 4	
L5	
L 6	
L7	
L 8	
L 9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2.5	



Domester Violence rask roles of /2//2022	Domestic	Violence	Task	Force	_	01/27/2022
--	----------	----------	------	-------	---	------------

1	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Well, all
2	right. Welcome to what, our seventh
3	meeting on Charge 6 of the task force
4	on review of domestic violence cases in
5	the judicial system. And I hope you all
6	brought lunch because if we go for four
7	hours only kidding that would be a
8	long time.
9	Today we want to talk about
10	communication among all stakeholders:
11	courts, law enforcement, criminal defense
12	bar, prosecutors, domestic violence
13	advocates, anyone else involved, 603
14	Legal, and most importantly, court and
15	court staff, judges, you name it.
16	So my understanding is in the
17	structure there are some which I put
18	down on this little piece of paper
19	institutionalized communication avenues
20	like the Bar Association's Committee on
21	Cooperation with the Courts. That's a
22	link between the Bar and the various
23	court systems, and it has served I've
24	been on it now for a couple of years. It
25	serves a purpose in terms of structural

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	issues; e-filing and, you know, sort of,
2	constellations of issues that arrive.
3	That's a good thing.
4	Informal communication I know
5	some people, some groups, have ongoing
6	open lines of communication with, for
7	example, their local court, other
8	offices, the crisis centers, and the
9	court staff. But that can be a function
10	of geography and personality. There have
11	been over time brown-bag lunches
12	sporadically. The county bar
13	associations have had communication with
14	the courts from time to time. There are
15	obviously listservs and practice-area-
16	focused groups, if you will. And we know
17	that for a period of time, there were
18	regular meetings I'm not sure if it
19	was quarterly between the Coalition
20	and specifically the circuit court
21	administrative judge.
22	So that's kind of setting the table.
23	And I don't know and I'm going to want
24	to hear how that routine meeting between
25	the coalition leadership and the circuit

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	judge administrative judge how that
2	actually worked, who was involved. And I
3	also have heard anecdotally that some
4	crisis centers have ongoing open lines of
5	communication with their responding court
6	staff, but I don't know if that's
7	universal among crisis centers.
8	And the final point that I am aware
9	of but I'm not quite sure for how
10	long is the domestic violence program
11	managers so we had Sarah, now we have
12	Jean have a phone contact process, I
13	believe, available to crisis centers,
14	advocates, folks, I don't know how
15	that's published, so you can advise me.
16	But that is sort of a real time.
17	So we have plenty of opportunity
18	well, it looks there have been
19	opportunities for periodic check-ins.
20	There's also a need for real-time, like,
21	hotline calls when things are not going
22	well in a particular case. And so I want
23	to hear ideas about how we do better,
24	institutionalize this, open it up to all
25	interest groups, if you will.



1	So that's the challenge let me
2	have it. And maybe we can start with the
3	Coalition. How did those meetings work,
4	if anyone knows?
5	Looks like you, Amanda.
6	MS. SEXTON: Thanks, Your Honor. So
7	I've been with the Coalition since, I
8	think, 2001. And for a period of time
9	while Judge Kelly was sitting as the
LO	administrative judge in the family courts
L1	then and then the circuit courts there
12	were quarterly meetings between Coalition
L3	staff and the courts, which included
L4	administrators, administrative judges,
L5	and Judge Kelly himself, to really just
L 6	have a back-and-forth conversation about,
L7	sort of, the status of how things were
L8	going, if there were concerns with the
L 9	advocates, if there were concerns with
20	judges, if there were some themes that we
21	were seeing, if there were issues that
22	staff were having, and I think that those
23	were very productive.
24	I know that, you know, we have in
25	we've recently heard from the Supreme



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	Court the chief justice that he is
2	very interested in continuing to bring
3	back these meetings with all levels of
4	administrative judges, and we're very
5	happy to be able to have that flow of
6	information continue.
7	I think in terms of practice with
8	our crisis centers, most of our member
9	programs do have open lines of
LO	communications with the courts the
1	clerk of the courts to discuss things
12	such as a bailiff prohibiting an advocate
13	and a victim from using a empty courtroom
L 4	or a conference room to draft a petition,
15	or a clerk not allowing paperwork to be
16	brought home or not allowing a victim to
L7	file for a 633:3-a when that's what their
L 8	choice was versus a 173-B; or just, sort
L 9	of, those more administrative issues to
20	directly communicate with the local court
21	clerk about any concerns they're having.
22	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: And that can
23	happen in real time
24	MS. SEXTON: Um-hum.
25	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: I think the



	Domestic Violence Task Force - U1/2//2022
1	other thing is sometimes advocates aren't
2	allowed to sit at the table, kind of
3	thing
4	MS. SEXTON: Yeah
5	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: that can
6	happen in real time.
7	MS. SEXTON: Yes, absolutely.
8	That's an example. But that would be
9	more of an example of concerns with
10	judges. So either a pattern a judge
11	has yelled at a victim. We've heard
12	we hear concerns such as a victim was
13	sitting waiting for their 173-B final
14	hearing, heard a judge yell at another
15	victim and left with the told the
16	advocate that she wasn't going to go
17	forward with the hearing. Things like
18	that.
19	Those would be things that would be
20	brought to the Coalition, and then the
21	Coalition would bring those to court
22	staff or to the administrative judges
23	directly. And again, I think, you know,
24	reinstituting these quarterly meetings, I
25	think, will be very productive.



1	I think the question lies with
2	advocates afterwards and victims when we
3	say, yes, we've raised these issues with
4	the courts. I think the question then
5	becomes, but what's going to happen?
6	Will the will they be will they be
7	addressed? Will they be reviewed? Will
8	this pattern of behavior continue? And
9	so I think that that's the from a
10	public perspective I think that continues
11	to be the question. What happens when
12	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Back in the
13	MS. SEXTON: significant issues
14	are raised.
15	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Back in the
16	day when these things were happening, was
17	there a perception that there was a
18	response?
19	MS. SEXTON: I think that we
20	certainly the crisis center programs
21	and I think they let the survivors
22	they're working with know that they are
23	heard, these complaints are heard. But I
24	think that there are certain components
25	of this that are seen as, you know,



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	certainly personnel issues, and so I
2	think that it is hard for folks to
3	understand that there is no specific
4	action. Of course, they can bring it to
5	the judicial conduct committee, there's
6	other avenues, but I think it's helpful
7	to for folks to hear that they're
8	being heard and things may be addressed,
9	but I don't think that there's that
10	I don't think that we often leave with an
11	understanding of how it will be
12	addressed, or if it will be addressed.
13	And I understand there's some restraints
14	around that.
15	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: And when you
16	had these meetings and I hate to get
17	into the, you know, details where did
18	they take place? At the AOC, or
19	MS. SEXTON: At that AOC or yes.
20	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: All right.
21	And it was mostly I'm going to say
22	these were meetings with the Coalition.
23	For example, if there were meetings with
24	other interested groups, stakeholders,
25	they were separate? These were, like,



	Domestic Violence Task Force - U1/21/2022
1	one-on-one meetings, not bringing
2	every different stakeholders together,
3	right?
4	MS. SEXTON: Yes. I think that's
5	correct, but I Your Honor. But I
6	think I would say that many of the, sort
7	of, concerns that we're hearing are not
8	only from crisis center advocates, but
9	they may be from systems advocates.
10	We've had even concerns or complaints
11	brought forward from defense attorneys,
12	prosecutors, so other people working
13	within the system that may see a pattern
14	with a particular justice or
15	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Do they get
16	reported to I'm just trying to get the
17	lay of the land. Do those get reported
18	to the Coalition? Or is that a separate
19	pipeline?
20	MS. SEXTON: I I'm sure there are
21	other pipelines that are used. But we
22	I I routinely and other staff
23	members at the Coalition routinely
24	hear from stakeholders throughout the
25	system with specific concerns



1	I will also say
2	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Okay
3	MS. SEXTON: this was also an
4	opportunity for us to talk about judges
5	that were doing really extraordinary
6	work, and that we consistently hear from
7	advocates that maybe the outcome isn't
8	always they're hoping for, but the judge
9	took great great went to great
10	lengths to ensure that the victim felt
11	heard, that they had a guided, sort of,
12	interview process that was fair and
13	really gave the opportunity for the
14	victim to show why they may be in danger
15	from further abuse. So both good and
16	bad.
17	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Good.
18	MS. PAINE: Your Honor, back in the
19	day
20	JUDGE YAZINSKI: Justice Marconi,
21	can I (indiscernible) with a couple of
22	things
23	MS. PAINE: Oops, go ahead.
24	JUDGE YAZINSKI: As I'm sitting here
25	listening so I when Amanda said



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	that a judge yelled in the courtroom, I
2	cringe. I cringe when I hear that for
3	several reasons. One, of course, it's
4	never appropriate, but two, I've also
5	done it.
6	One of the things that I ask
7	everyone to keep in mind here and I
8	think Judge Carbon would agree with me
9	judges are human too. And we sense
10	frustration, we get annoyed.
11	And I don't know if that's the
12	situation that Amanda speaks of, where
13	the judge raised his voice, or her voice,
14	but it would be obviously, would be
15	completely inappropriate in the setting
16	of a domestic violence case. But there
17	are times it's easier for me now
18	but there were times and the Supreme
19	Court recognized it in the Tadley (ph.)
20	decision a few years ago, involving
21	former Chief Justice Lynn that judges,
22	too, are human.
23	I think this connects with the other
24	conversations that we've had about
25	educating judges to make them understand



Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022 1 that what they portray on the bench is 2 often received by the parties in a way 3 that is not intended. I have a deep 4 voice -- and I think Mary Krueger might agree with me here -- there are times I 5 6 am in no way annoyed, angry, or meaning 7 to give anyone the sense that I am. But 8 because of the tone of my voice it comes 9 across as I am. 10 So I think that goes to continuing 11 to educate judges about the perception of 12 people in the courtroom and how their 13 actions or inactions might make one party 14 or the other feel very uncomfortable, 15 particularly a victim, again, who, you 16 know -- a woman comes in to make a very 17 difficult case, and she's staring at a 18 six foot, two, white-haired old man. And 19 we have to be -- we really have to feel 20 that. We have to understand that. 21 The other point about the meetings 22 with the administrative judges -- and I 23 do remember that those occurred 24 regularly -- one of the things we also



have to keep in mind is the

25

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	administrative judge can't simply pick up
2	the phone and say, hey, Jack, I want
3	you you should decide cases this way.
4	One of the things that Judge Kelly, and
5	now Judge King, have always been
6	respectful of is the fact that judges
7	make individual decisions based upon the
8	individual facts in front of them.
9	So I think it really important to
LO	document patterns as Amanda earlier
11	said document patterns. And if that's
12	occurring, that is more impactful than,
13	you know, one person being annoyed
L 4	because a judge lost her temper in a
15	hearing. But if a pattern develops,
L 6	that's when it can be addressed and would
L7	be addressed. But individual cases,
18	administrative judges don't even discuss
L 9	with us because it's not their they're
20	not the Supreme Court, and they recognize
21	that.
22	The final point and I don't mean
23	to dominate, but
2 4	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: I'll cut you
25	off when it's time



1	JUDGE YAZINSKI: I know you will.
2	When for all of the good things
3	that came when we established the circuit
4	court and there were many, many good
5	things one of the things that was not
6	so good was removing judges who were
7	familiar with the courts where they were
8	sitting and knew all of the players.
9	And now we really are a circuit
10	court. Judges drive an hour or an hour
11	and a half to their court. It is in
12	my court, if Deb Mozden from Turning
13	Points wanted to bring something to my
14	attention, she would bring it to my
15	attention, and I would get back to her.
16	Just as if I had an issue with an
17	advocate or felt that there was something
18	more an advocate could be doing, I would
19	immediately contact Deb, and the problem
20	would be resolved.
21	And we've had that open
22	communication, and it's valuable. It's
23	valuable to me, it's valuable to them,
24	it's valuable to my staff. However, if
25	the judge that is sitting in Claremont is



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	driving from Portsmouth, it's highly
2	unlikely he or she is going to not only
3	know the advocacy center but know the
4	school administrators and the police
5	chiefs in the area.
6	And so my final point is that there
7	is that has been something of a cost
8	with the circuit court.
9	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Good points.
10	MS. FREEMAN: Could I could I
11	build upon that? And I think one of the
12	benefits of my position as domestic
13	violence program manager and Jean's
14	position now as domestic violence program
15	manager is each of us have been able to
16	develop really close relationships with
17	individual crisis centers because, as
18	Judge said, you know, judges are moving
19	around.
20	So I would say the majority of the
21	crisis centers I was speaking to on a
22	weekly basis, when we rolled out the
23	email filing pilot project. Jean
24	consistently now speaks to crisis center
25	advocates whenever issues arise. And it

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	really does it's so impactful to have
2	those individual relationships so you
3	can you can address both the one-
4	off someone didn't get the right
5	paperwork but also to hear about some
6	of those patterns before they become
7	patterns, to potentially address things
8	before they become systemic issues. So I
9	think that has been one of the really
10	powerful things about the domestic
11	violence program manager role.
12	And it's not just for the crisis
13	center advocates. It's for law
14	enforcement. It's for any stakeholders
15	that have been involved in these domestic
16	violence cases. And it's really one of
17	the great things about that role. And in
18	that role, that person can take that
19	information and bring it to the right
20	place, whether that's the administrative
21	judge, or the clerk of court.
22	And so I think that has been really
23	great to have. And to be able to pass
24	feedback back and forth, as the Judge
25	said between things that are when



	Domestic Violence Task Force - U1/2//2022
1	things are working really, really well
2	with judges and court staff, when things
3	are not working so well, when things are
4	working great with advocates, and when
5	things are not working so great.
6	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: And that phone
7	number is accessible or available five
8	days a week? Seven days a week?
9	MS. FREEMAN: Yep. So it's it's
10	a number that's available for law
11	enforcement on protective orders and it's
12	through relationships that we build with
13	stakeholders.
14	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: So law
15	enforcement, crisis centers
16	MS. FREEMAN: And it's that
17	position is the so that position is
18	partly funded with federal funds, and it
19	is to be the liaison between the court
20	and stakeholders to related to
21	domestic violence. So it has it
22	the domestic violence program manager,
23	for instance, sits on the attorney
24	general's victims of crime steering
25	committee, and that is a roundtable with



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	a slew of stakeholders, and all those
2	individuals have Jean's contact
3	information. When the DV fatality review
4	committee is meeting, she's on that. And
5	so she's really the the connection
6	between the the community and the
7	courts.
8	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: And mentioning
9	it again, some of you folks have way
10	longer memories, the governor's
11	commission if it was, or domestic
12	violence committee, commission, which is
13	somewhat dormant, we think was that an
14	opportunity for there to be additional
15	communication among stakeholders?
16	JUDGE CARBON: So sure. Betsy
17	and I were on that for many, many years.
18	We had multi layers. So there was an
19	executive committee that she and I were
20	both on with the governor's office and
21	the attorney general and a few other key
22	individuals. And we were chairs of the
23	many committees of the governor's
24	commission, and those committees has
25	their own members as well. And we



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	regularly met, both within our committees
2	and then as a full commission, and then
3	the steering committee. So much like we
4	did when we created the fatality review
5	committee, where it's, like, three
6	layers. I guess the fatality had two.
7	We had the executive committee and then
8	the full committee.
9	And we had regular ongoing contact
10	with reviewing cases, as it may be, or
11	taking particular issues. I chaired the
12	public education committee, for example.
13	We had a batterers intervention committee
14	that Scott chaired. I don't see Scott on
15	the screen here, but he was another
16	member of the original committee.
17	And we had regular meetings. So you
18	had many opportunities to be working
19	literally at the same table, you know,
20	not in a virtual setting like this, which
21	is, you know, better than telephone, but
22	certainly not the same as actually
23	sitting down every month with people and
24	reviewing issues and problem solving and
25	creating new and better ways of doing the



	Domestic violence lask force - 01/27/2022
1	work that all of us are invested with
2	different perspectives from doing. But
3	everybody was coming to the table on a
4	regular, monthly basis to meet, to
5	brainstorm, to problem solve, and to do
6	really good work moving the state
7	forward.
8	And there was a period of time when,
9	with this kind of collaboration, we were
10	leading the country in reputation of
11	being one of the stellar examples of good
12	DV work. And it was great, and we were
13	doing a lot of work that spawned other
14	projects and modelling for other
15	jurisdictions to do that. So
16	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: And that
17	commission was supported I mean, it
18	sounds like it was a executive branch but
19	also an executive branch function
20	including the judicial branch or
21	JUDGE CARBON: And the
22	legislative
23	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: it was
24	supported by, what, staff from the
25	executive or court or both?



1	JUDGE CARBON: We were we were
2	our own staff.
3	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Okay.
4	JUDGE CARBON: So we had the victim
5	witness advocate from the AG's office,
6	who ultimately, sort of, became the
7	secretariat, if you will.
8	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Yeah.
9	JUDGE CARBON: She would schedule
10	the meetings and was an integral part of
11	the substantive work. But at different
12	times there were different chairs of the
13	governor's commission, people from
14	different parties. It didn't matter.
15	It was a nonpartisan group. Kelly
16	Ayotte chaired it for a while. Elizabeth
17	Toomey (ph.) from Department of Education
18	was a fabulous chair for a long period of
19	time, until she moved and retired. But
20	it was a multi-membership. On the
21	fatality review committee, we had William
22	Knowles (ph.) as a member of the
23	legislature at the time. So we really
24	tried to reach deep and broad and have as
25	diverse a composition on the committee as

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	we could. And I think that was a
2	successful way to do it.
3	MS. BEEBE: The other piece I'd
4	add
5	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Great.
6	MS. BEEBE: to what Judge
7	Carbon's saying is that the other piece
8	that that commission brought was the
9	addition of ongoing training so that
10	every time there was changes to protocols
11	and updates to protocols, we were doing
12	regional trainings, multidisciplinary
13	regional trainings. We would be in
14	different parts. And then we could
15	follow up with that, locally, where you
16	actually were bringing together the
17	multidisciplinary (indiscernible) from
18	different communities that were getting
19	to know each other, and then could also
20	follow up as issues came up or to
21	continue to (indiscernible) that
22	communication.
23	JUDGE CARBON: Yep. So we had
24	multidisciplinary work, and we also had
25	discipline-specific work. And we would

	Domestic violence lask force - 01/2//2022
1	do that and then come together and a
2	series of ongoing trainings. So that
3	was good point, Kathy.
4	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: I'm seeing a
5	pattern. Well, I'm seeing, I guess, a
6	reflection, if you will. Some of the
7	topics we've discussed are within a
8	discipline, i.e., the court, stuff we can
9	do. And then there is stuff we've talked
10	about that definitely is going to need a
11	multidisciplinary approach. So I'm
12	seeing a reflection I'm seeing my
13	reflection in this discussion.
14	Other thoughts on so I guess I
15	look at law enforcement, prosecutors,
16	defense attorneys, they were all brought
17	in. Social service agencies, I mean,
18	DCYF
19	JUDGE CARBON: Yep. DCYF
20	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: were all
21	brought into this discussion.
22	JUDGE CARBON: We had corrections,
23	psychologists, defense, bar, prosecution,
24	medical community, education Betsy,
25	help me out. What am I missing? We



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	really tried to reach the community at
2	large as best we could.
3	MS. PAINE: And I think attorney
4	Cronheim testified that he had been
5	part
6	JUDGE CARBON: Right, right
7	MS. PAINE: that he had been part
8	of that effort. And he certainly brought
9	great depth of experience in terms of his
10	criminal defense background and also
11	family law background. Pam was part of
12	it
13	JUDGE CARBON: Yep.
14	MS. PAINE: yeah. And we Your
15	Honor, I would note that we haven't
16	discussed the crossover place that seems
17	so painful from the public hearing
18	record, which is the fact that children
19	often get caught in the middle of these
20	cases. And we did have federal funding
21	for a three-year period five-year
22	period to do a project called the
23	Greenbook Project that tried to look at
24	the co-occurrence of child abuse and
25	domestic violence. And I would say that



	Domestic Violence Task Force - U1/2//2022
1	we started and Judge Yazinski was part
2	of that, Judge Carbon was part of that.
3	I don't know as we resolved how to
4	practice better for kids. And it sounds
5	like, based on the testimony, that
6	there's still an incredible body of work
7	that needs to be taken on there.
8	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Interesting.
9	What time frame was that, roughly?
10	JUDGE CARBON: It was
11	JUDGE YAZINSKI: It was
12	(indiscernible)
13	JUDGE CARBON: when I was still
14	sitting and
15	MS. FREEMAN: 2005 to 2007, I think?
16	JUDGE YAZINSKI: Yeah.
17	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Okay.
18	JUDGE YAZINSKI: Yeah, exactly.
19	MS. FREEMAN: I was an advocate in
20	Plymouth right after that happened, and
21	it was based in Plymouth. So I'm pretty
22	familiar with it. It was amazing work.
23	JUDGE YAZINSKI: It was
24	incorporated
25	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Where might



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	that that those work papers, work
2	document, where might that reside?
3	MS. FREEMAN: I can find them and
4	give it to Anne and Lisa.
5	JUDGE CARBON: Yep.
6	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Great.
7	JUDGE YAZINSKI: And we actually
8	drafted protocols along those lines that
9	we presented to the judges. However, we
10	ran out of funding, we ran out of time.
11	We ran out of the ability to continue the
12	project and in a way that was
13	sustainable, which is what often happens,
14	unfortunately, when we do these things.
15	But that reminds me and again I
16	apologize. I don't want to dominate
17	these things. When Dr. Hodge I
18	watched the public hearing and she
19	testified about judges not being
20	obviously, we don't have the training
21	that she had but not being trained in
22	trauma and PTSD and other mental health
23	issues that go along with interpersonal
24	violence. While we were doing the
25	Greenbook work and thereafter, some of us



	Domestic Violence Task Force - U1/2//2022
1	really did take a have a strong focus
2	on PTSD and trauma worked with Dr.
3	Rosenburg out of Dartmouth for years on a
4	trauma-focused CBT program that
5	ultimately went statewide. We did train
6	judges, or at least we made we exposed
7	judges to it.
8	That collaboration was
9	(indiscernible) for several years also
10	involving DCYF, involving the local
11	mental health clinics and involving the
12	advocacy groups and the Coalition. So
13	there are there are pieces out there
14	that did occur that sometimes we lose
15	track of.
16	MS. LAFRANCE: Yeah, I was I was
17	going to say to, kind of, piggyback on
18	what the Judge said, when Dr. Hodge was
19	speaking, I actually wished she had hung
20	around because I wanted to talk to her
21	after.
22	Yeah, I mean, I see that sometimes
23	in when I represent somebody in a
24	restraining order hearing if there are
25	children involved, you know, sometimes



	Domestic Violence Task Force - U1/2//2022
1	the judge is saying, well, they didn't
2	abuse the child, you know, just the wife
3	or the parent. So there should be
4	contact with the child. And it's like,
5	well, the children witnessed it.
6	So I mean, I I think definitely
7	the judges need some training on, you
8	know, just how even if there's not direct
9	physical abuse, just by witnessing abuse,
10	children, you know, can still suffer.
11	And not even thinking about, you know, if
12	they have contact with the abuser. And
13	sometimes I've had judges order
14	unsupervised contact, so they're, you
15	know, filling the children's ears with
16	God knows what. So
17	JUDGE YAZINSKI: Yeah and I hate
18	to jump in but Judge Carbon and Sarah
19	and Betsy, if that's occurring on a
20	regular basis, then that falls on us that
21	we have not trained and educated judges
22	well enough.
23	Patty, you have no idea what you're
24	making me feeling like when I hear that.
25	Recause that is just just hasic And



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	it's part of what we worked on with the
2	Greenbook.
3	And Sue, I'm sure you're cringing
4	too if you're as you hear that.
5	JUDGE CARBON: Uh, yeah. My hair,
6	which is normally dead straight, was,
7	like, doing loops right now.
8	We have trained really, we don't
9	even use children exposed any longer
10	or children witnessing we talk about
11	children being exposed to violence. And
12	we've done so much training on trying to
13	educate judges that even in utero I
14	mean, we find oftentimes that we feel
15	like we're training the bar. Because
16	lawyers will sometimes say, you know, the
17	kids weren't around, they didn't see
18	this, they didn't witness it. But we
19	know from science that kids in utero are
20	experiencing the effects of violence.
21	And we've looked at all the research
22	being done on brain development, and it's
23	extraordinary.
24	But it still takes a lot of time to
25	continue training because lots of this is

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	new and continually evolving. And as a
2	bench, we've had a lot of training and
3	we've been doing our best to continue
4	educating judges, but I would also say,
5	candidly, we don't have nearly as much
6	time for training as I think we would
7	like. DV one part of what we do, and
8	there's so many other areas.
9	But, you know, I would love to see
10	us have more time to do more training,
11	more experiential training. And I think
12	Jack and Betsy would agree, and Sarah,
13	that this is something important to us,
14	that I think we recognize how critical
15	that is.
16	And I think we also need to do,
17	frankly, a better job understanding the
18	crossover and the different philosophical
19	approaches to domestic violence in the
20	context of a DV hearing and domestic
21	violence in the context of a parenting
22	case. Because I think people approach
23	those two different doors with very
24	different philosophies.
25	And in a DV case, we're talking all



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	about safety. That's number one. And
2	when we go into parenting, we're talking
3	about a parent's rights to a child.
4	Safety sort of falls off the top tier.
5	And I think that's one area that we as a
6	state need to have some conversation
7	about and need to focus on and could do a
8	lot of collaborative work moving forward
9	on that too. So I would agree with
10	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: For the cases
11	that and I think you mentioned the
12	bar, and then there's that missing link.
13	And we know that vast majority of these
14	cases are with unrepresented, self-
15	represented, parties
16	JUDGE CARBON: Right.
17	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: but for
18	those where there are where there is
19	counsel, and I'm thinking DOVE program,
20	where there's assigned counsel. Is it
21	something that the bar could help, in a
22	particular case, educate the court? You
23	know what I'm saying? I mean, sometimes
24	science gets ahead, and it's case-
25	specific when you have an opportunity to



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	bring some some actual factual
2	evidence to the court.
3	So I'm thinking of two prongs.
4	Certainly there's internal training,
5	which makes a ton of sense. But there's
6	also, as you mentioned, communication
7	with the bar about when they have the
8	opportunity to broaden their horizon, if
9	you will, in what they're presenting.
10	JUDGE CARBON: Well, you're right.
11	I mean, a lot of people come in without
12	the benefit of counsel, and we've
13	spent
14	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Right.
15	JUDGE CARBON: a lot of time in
16	this group already talking about the
17	critical importance of having good
18	counsel representing them but
19	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Right. And
20	then once you have counsel, is counsel
21	presenting
22	JUDGE CARBON: Um-hum.
23	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: You know, in
24	the DOVE training, for example, is
25	counsel presenting its best case And



	Domestic Violence Task Force - U1/21/2022
1	this would circle back then to the form
2	issue and what Judge Nicolosi said so
3	well, which is when you have a full
4	fully formed petition, it makes the
5	hearing process easier.
6	JUDGE CARBON: Um-hum. Yep. No
7	shortage of work to do.
8	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Yeah.
9	MS. DODGE: Justice Hantz, are
10	you I mean, were you suggesting
11	potentially a roundtable with judges and
12	attorneys who typical take protective
13	order cases?
14	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Maybe.
15	MS. DODGE: I mean that that may
16	be a helpful thing. I mean, I don't I
17	mean so I'm speaking as a non-
18	attorney. I don't know and there are
19	lawyers sitting here. I don't know what
20	their sense would be of going to a
21	roundtable and discussion some trends
22	that they're seeing with the, you know,
23	not being able to obtain some of the
24	other relief that's so important for the
25	family, like the either the no



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	visitation or the child or supervised
2	visitation if there is something
3	available, where a judge has just ruled
4	that the kids weren't present, so it's
5	not a nonissue. How comfortable would an
6	attorney be in a roundtable setting
7	discussing that with the judges? I'm
8	just throwing that out there because I'm
9	a non-attorney and I'm not going to have
10	to appear before the judge.
11	MS. LAFRANCE: Well, as an attorney
12	who takes these cases, you know, I think
13	it's all in how it's presented. You
14	know, you don't I don't if it turns
15	into just a gripe fest, then maybe, you
16	know, a judge might I would hope
17	not but a judge might feel personally
18	attacked if they feel like it's something
19	that they did. But if it's just
20	presented as a general, you know, hey,
21	let's work collaboratively to see if we
22	can solve this problem. But I mean, I
23	I certainly wouldn't mind a roundtable
24	with judges. I mean, I think I'm
25	diplomatic enough that I can present



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	issues without being accusatory.
2	But one thing I did want to say,
3	what I really took away from the public
4	hearing on Friday was and I'm hearing
5	it again today is it always comes down
6	to training, which means money and time,
7	something that nobody has.
8	So I mean, we just we see this
9	this has been going on for years, you
10	know. And it's just you need the
11	money, you need the time, you need the
12	personnel. And that's something that
13	historically the legislature just doesn't
14	want to give. And I'm sure you
15	judges, you see it too, you know.
16	Now we're seeing, you know, look
17	at look at the Sununu Center, right?
18	They're looking at a hundred-million-
19	dollar payout. Maybe if they'd taken
20	some of that money and put it into proper
21	training and staff, they wouldn't be
22	looking at that situation. I mean,
23	that's that's that's what's going
24	to happen. There's going to be a
25	lawsuit, and the state's going to end up



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	paying hundreds of maybe a hundred
2	million dollars, who knows because
3	there's no there's no training and
4	there's no time or staff. So I mean,
5	that's you know, I was county
6	attorney, I dealt with budgets too, and I
7	know how the legislature can be.
8	Amanda, you've been up there, you've
9	seen it, right? So how do we get around
10	that? I mean, how do we get the
11	legislature to sit up and take notice?
12	MS. SEXTON: Well, I think that
13	another
14	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Well, we
15	also go ahead.
16	MS. SEXTON: I'm so sorry. I think
17	another recommendation should be that the
18	legislature appropriate additional funds
19	for training and staff to ensure that
20	these cases are done well. Or they're
21	done in a way that can ensure that the
22	safety of some of most vulnerable
23	citizens are prioritized.
24	And I think you're absolutely right,
25	who has time right now to be taking



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	judges off the bench to be able to do
2	enhanced training that, I think, many are
3	very interested in and I think are
4	certainly needed.
5	So I think that that is one way I
6	think we could all come together from all
7	of these different disciplines to to
8	certainly recommend that there be some
9	sort of appropriation.
10	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Well, and I
11	think as we've seen, there may be grant
12	money available on a regular basis, if
13	you will. But then sometimes that's just
14	a start. It doesn't doesn't
15	perpetuate itself. It doesn't continue
16	the program.
17	And so if we're going to solve this
18	long term, I mean, A, we're talking about
19	collaboration, and there's a whole lot
20	that we can do just in communicating.
21	But in carrying it forward, there's got
22	to be a sustainable work group, if you
23	will, to carry it forward.
24	MS. ZINKIN: Judge Yazinski? I I
25	think that you would know. As I



	Domestic Violence Task Force - UI/2//2022
1	remember, like, twenty-one years ago,
2	whatever, there was a lot more funding in
3	the budget for the judicial branch to
4	have training for lawyers and judges who
5	are part of the branch. And my
6	understanding or recollection is that was
7	slashed, I want to say, like, ten years
8	ago.
9	JUDGE YAZINSKI: Yeah, that's right,
10	Anne. It it was almost completely
11	eliminated.
12	MS. ZINKIN: Right.
13	JUDGE YAZINSKI: So that we got
14	creative, and we do our own quarterly
15	trainings one coming up on Friday.
16	And then people like Judge Carbon go out
17	and get money to bring experts in to
18	present. But we have no real educational
19	budget that allows us to get programming
20	outside of those very localized, tailored
21	things that tend not to cost any money.
22	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: And what would
23	be nice, actually and this is a little
24	bit of a detour but I presume there
25	are and tell me if I'm wrong



	Domestic violence lask force - 01/27/2022
1	programs out there like, already
2	developed that people could go to,
3	attend, if we could just build it in to
4	the process. And whether it is members
5	of the bar, members of the that work
6	in system, so to speak judges, staff.
7	I assume it would be great if we
8	could identify some of those programs
9	I'm just thinking of our
10	recommendations so that it's not
11	like we're recreating the wheel. There's
12	stuff out there to do. We just need to
13	get people exposed to it.
14	JUDGE CARBON: Justice Hantz
15	Marconi yeah. There's tons of
16	material out there, and we've brought
17	many a resources in. But part of the
18	issue is getting time set aside
19	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Right.
20	JUDGE CARBON: so that the entire
21	bench and we've advocated for
22	statewide so that it's superior, circuit,
23	Supreme so that everybody's getting
24	all of the information. Because there's
25	some amazing training that's available.



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	And it's contracting with somebody to
2	say, okay, on whatever, X days we're
3	going to do it. That's for the bench. I
4	don't know what and maybe Amanda and
5	others know what's available for the
6	bar.
7	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Right.
8	JUDGE CARBON: Because the
9	organizations that I work with are
10	judicial training. But
11	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Well, and you
12	think about it, the intersection with,
13	you know, how domestic violence affects
14	abuse and neglect cases
15	JUDGE CARBON: Right, absolutely.
16	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Right. You
17	know. So there's this whole cross-
18	referencing to the topic. Which, yes,
19	would be great to have some. And it is
20	time. Because right now we don't have
21	time to take the judges off the bench.
22	MS. FREEMAN: And we don't have
23	time
24	JUDGE CARBON: So if
25	(indiscernible)



- 1 MS. FREEMAN: Sorry, go ahead.
- JUDGE CARBON: Go ahead, Sarah.
- 3 MS. FREEMAN: No, I was just
- 4 saying -- and we don't have the time for
- 5 staff to be able to train.
- JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Right.
- 7 MS. FREEMAN: So the judges are just
- 8 one piece of that, and we're -- when
- 9 you're -- you don't have enough judges or
- 10 you don't have enough staff, every single
- day you are just trying to meet your
- 12 statutory deadline to process cases, to
- provide the access that -- the justice
- 14 that the statutes require. So all of
- what we're talking about, we want to do.
- 16 And --
- 17 JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Yep.
- 18 MS. FREEMAN: -- we have -- we may
- 19 have funding for -- to bring in a
- 20 trainer. But we don't have the time --
- 21 staff time or judge time -- to dedicate
- 22 to that consistently.
- MS. LAFRANCE: So --
- MS. SEXTON: I -- it sounds like
- we've -- so sorry.



1	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Yeah.
2	MS. LAFRANCE: Oh, no. I was going
3	to say I'm I'm on the CLE committee,
4	and they know I'm part of this task
5	force, so they were looking for me to
6	report back to see if there was any
7	training that the bar could offer through
8	their CLE. So I, you know they have
9	the facility obviously, we're doing
10	most of our training online through Zoom.
11	But I think this is definitely something
12	that we could turn into a day-long
13	program. But like Sarah just said, it's
14	getting the time to find the judges and
15	court staff
16	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: But
17	MS. LAFRANCE: to actually be
18	able to come to the training.
19	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: But also just
20	like lawyers educate judges on various
21	aspects of the law when they're
22	presenting their case, I just wonder
23	about that I mean, not ideal but
24	backdoor way of bringing again, in a
25	particular case bringing some new data

	Domestic violence lask force - 01/27/2022
1	to the fore.
2	MS. SEXTON: Yeah
3	JUDGE CARBON: It might be
4	interesting to have the bar do some
5	programs, for example, on trauma-informed

practice, which impacts judicial

officers. It impacts the bar. It

8 impacts law enforcement. It impacts

9 advocates. Everybody who touches DV

10 needs to know about trauma. And judges

11 are getting a lot of training on trauma.

12 I don't know the extent to which other

13 are. But you could have a training that

14 could be applicable to a

6

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

15 multidisciplinary audience.

But even doing something, like, at a bar meeting where -- that's a very visible organization -- I'm not sure that others besides the bench and bar would attend the bar meetings. But that sort of thing -- or where we used to have our statewide conferences, they used to be truly multidisciplinary. And we could bring people to them and have some

multidisciplinary conversations.

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	there again we're at a point where we
2	don't have the time or the
3	(indiscernible)
4	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: But at bar
5	meetings, even county bar meetings, where
6	they have an after-hours, you could
7	perhaps delve into that.
8	JUDGE CARBON: You could. I think
9	that would be a little bit more
10	challenging to try to do ten around the
11	state, but certainly
12	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Hey, I'm an
13	optimist.
14	JUDGE CARBON: Yeah.
15	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: If you had a
16	program. I mean, if you had a program
17	JUDGE CARBON: Yep.
18	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: that you
19	could roll out to Inns of Court and
20	county bar meetings, people might go, and
21	you'd have that, again, you know, cross-
22	referencing.
23	MS. FREEMAN: And
24	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: That'd be
25	interesting.



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	MS. FREEMAN: And I would say that I
2	think some of us have worked on trying to
3	developing programing that's systemwide,
4	but it goes back to when are we going to
5	have judges participate in this, and when
6	are we going to have staff. Because
7	they're we're processing we're
8	processing cases, we're getting those
9	three- to five-day hearings out.
10	And so it really becomes a larger
11	system challenge where we may have
12	internal and external resources for
13	training both to fund it and to have
14	people train, but it where do you find
15	the space to do that.
16	JUDGE CARBON: And Sarah, if I
17	could
18	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Well, we're
19	trying to again, another hat that I
20	wear is this education subcommittee for
21	court staff that came out of a internal
22	survey trying to create sort of an
23	online catalogue of trainings. So



24

25

it's -- it will be a little bit in the

future, but if we could have modules for

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	folks to do at their own pace, there's
2	that coming.
3	JUDGE CARBON: That's certainly
4	helpful. And I'll just since we're
5	just in a group I'll advocate for what
6	I think is ideal, which is getting people
7	together.
8	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Yes.
9	JUDGE CARBON: Having the
10	opportunity for discussion about that.
11	Some people really do learn very well
12	sitting in their living room drinking
13	coffee and that kind of thing. But other
14	people really benefit from having an
15	opportunity to talk and exchange ideas,
16	and to vet ideas when they don't really
17	resonate with you. And all the cognitive
18	dissonance that you have with some of
19	that stuff.
20	But I would also just share an
21	observation that I don't know that has
22	come up before.
23	Judge Yazinski, correct me if I'm
24	wrong or maybe Sarah, you know the
25	numbers we've got about a third of the

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	circuit court bench is new to the bench,
2	five years or less. Something like that.
3	Judge Yazinski and I are, like, the old-
4	timers, who they're going to be put out
5	to pasture pretty soon.
6	So you've got brand-new people who
7	not only need DV, they need child abuse.
8	And we've been talking about the same
9	thing with the child abuse institutes as
10	we are with the DV. So this is one
11	critically important topic, but it's not
12	the only topic that we need to get judges
13	really well-trained in. So when we're
14	taking this, and now we multiply it by
15	umpteen other also really important
16	topics, it's it's a daunting task.
17	And I think people just need to be
18	realistic that we, in an ideal world,
19	could send judges to an institute for a
20	couple months to get the training and
21	then continue on with some of that. But
22	we need to figure out what is realistic
23	and recognize that there are a lot of
24	people who have very little training
25	because they're just so new to the bench,



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	and you just can't learn everything all
2	at once. Even if you practice law in
3	that area, it is very different when then
4	you go on the bench. So
5	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: And so that
6	brings us back to where we started, in a
7	way, I think, in terms of
8	communication ongoing communication to
9	help focus 'till we can send people, you
10	know, six weeks a year for training on
11	everything but we can at least focus
12	and prioritize the areas because of the
13	feedback we get. We might be able to
14	prioritize the areas that need that
15	need some help right away. Or at least
16	prioritize the type of training or the
17	the corrections that are perceived to
18	need to be made, or and/or I also
19	think communication, two-way street
20	to perhaps explain why a particular
21	critique of a particular pattern might be
22	warranted.
23	I mean, it just I think the
24	communication aspect helps create a base
25	of understanding, if you will. So a

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	judge might not understand that raising
2	their voice is scaring people. On the
3	other hand, you might be able to at least
4	get some I don't know feedback so
5	that it can be explained to the folks
6	coming in to court that this is not
7	directed at you, this is not personal,
8	this is, you know, kind of, the way this
9	person presents, if you will.
10	MS. SEXTON: I think that we've
11	we probably all agree that improved
12	communication is going to make a big
13	difference here. That ongoing training
14	is going to be absolutely critical.
15	But, you know, I'm not hearing from
16	folks that are saying one particular
17	judge yelled on X day. I'm hearing
18	from consistently from a broad
19	network of people that are working within
20	the community that there are five, six
21	judges that are consistently what
22	people believe are making some are
23	acting in a way that is putting victims
24	in further danger. I know those are very
25	strong words, but I hear the same judges

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	names over and over again from
2	all across the state.
3	So I guess the question is, once we
4	have all of those once we work to
5	improve all of these things, which we
6	know and we absolutely should, what are
7	we going to do when we've identified that
8	we still have that same group of people
9	that are are seemingly problematic on
10	these issues
11	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: Well, I think
12	that that's part of the communication
13	because, again, if there is and this
14	gets back to to knowing the problem.
15	So if I mean, those situations at
16	least from where I'm sitting would
17	need an open conversation about what are
18	you hearing, what's being reported, what
19	are the circumstances, is there an
20	alternative explanation, is there not,
21	and then getting to the ground of if it
22	is an ongoing pattern of, perhaps, lack
23	of judgement or something, is there a
24	correction. But I think that's part of
25	the conversation that needs to happen.



1	JUDGE YAZINSKI: And in those areas,
2	are your are your centers are the
3	directors comfortable like I said
4	earlier, Deb Mozden would be comfortable
5	contacting me. Are they comfortable
6	contacting those judges? Let me give you
7	an example.
8	Years ago, I denied a number of
9	motions to waive filing fees, and Deb
10	Mozden asked if she could meet with me.
11	And she brought to my attention that I
12	was denying waivers for women who were
13	either in shelter or dealing with Turning
14	Points. And it caused me to look more
15	closely at both why I was denying those
16	and whether or not I needed to revamp the
17	way that I was looking at that portion
18	because again that was financial control,
19	lots of parts went into it.
20	But it made me think long and hard
21	about it, and I still think about it when
22	I look at a request to waive fees and I
23	look at a financial affidavit. So do
24	you do you think Deb's equivalents in
25	those areas would be comfortable talking

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	with their judges?
2	MS. SEXTON: Judge Yazinski, that's
3	a great question. And I wish that, you
4	know, that everyone had, you know, the
5	relationship that you and Deb have. And
6	I wish that every courtroom looked like
7	yours and Judge Carbon's, but they don't.
8	I do think that that is a very valuable
9	relationship you have, and I think it
10	would absolutely beneficial if we could,
11	you know, open those lines of
12	communications.
13	And I think somebody at our last
14	meeting mentioned the coordinating
15	councils, the domestic violence
16	coordinating councils, the brown-bag
17	lunches. I participated in those when I
18	was a young advocate at one of the crisis
19	centers, and those were an excellent way
20	for everybody within the community and
21	the court to have localized
22	conversations. And I suspect that if we
23	could reinstitute those, they would be
24	incredibly valuable.
25	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: And even



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	beyond the brown-bag lunch, if we could
2	just make a point of connecting the
3	crisis center catchment area with the
4	judges in that area and inviting that
5	communication, that could solve the
6	problem I mean, do the lunches too
7	but that could just be something we
8	institute. You're the assigned judges
9	for the next, you know, however many
LO	months it's going to be, and here are the
L1	phone numbers and here are the people,
L2	like a cheat sheet.
13	I mean, I know, anecdotally, judges
L 4	move around, the first thing the
15	challenge is finding the resources that
16	are in that community. And it's not just
L 7	DV, it's lots of other areas as well. So
L 8	maybe we need to invite that
L 9	introduction.
20	JUDGE CARBON: Justice Marconi I
21	think
22	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: As long as the
23	crisis center folks would be willing to,
24	you know, pick up the phone and not feel
25	hesitant or, frankly, if they feel



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	hesitant, I guess the same could be the
2	Coalition top brass could pick up the
3	phone.
4	MS. BEEBE: Can I just add to that
5	as someone from a crisis center? You
6	know, I don't think that there's any
7	hesitancy, and I also think it's bigger
8	and more multidisciplinary than focusing
9	just on judges.
10	You know, we have we have the
11	same challenges with different court
12	personnel, with law enforcement, with
13	but it's not across the board. And so
14	it's some of it is systematic in terms
15	of not understanding the protocols and
16	what people are supposed to be doing
17	within the protocols and trying to figure
18	that out. So for me if there was a
19	clearer sense of what everybody's role
20	and responsibility is so that you can
21	have those conversations of where the
22	breakdown might be occurring is different
23	from if there's some decisions being made
24	that are seemingly harmful to victims
25	that have nothing to do the process of



Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022 1 what we're trying to fix here. 2 And the other piece is that I do 3 think the opportunity of bringing people 4 together -- I know those coordinating councils and brown-bag lunches were 5 6 extremely beneficial. And I think Sarah 7 and now Jean's position is extremely 8 helpful to connecting us to the court 9 personnel that we might not know. 10 'Cause it does come down to 11 personalities. We have court personnel 12 that will call us on a weekly basis and 13 make sure that we're there and make sure 14 that we're connected to the victims that 15 are seeking relief. But then there's 16 others that we really struggle to even 17 have any sort of communication or are not 18 at all helpful when we are able to be there, based on the victims reaching out 19 20 to us first. 21 So I mean, I just know in going back 22 and reading the protocols and -- I don't 23 know -- is there a revised protocol 24 that's in the works from the one from



2013, or is that the most recent?

25

1	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: I think there
2	are revisions to the protocols in the
3	works. I'm not quite sure what what
4	about the protocols would direct a
5	call I guess I'm thinking the problem
6	with the judge we kind of know. Either
7	you're going to call that judge or take
8	it to the administrative judge. Problem
9	with staff, you're either going to go to
LO	that staff or, frankly, Jean, you know,
11	sort of. Or the clerk of that particular
L2	court. Law enforcement, I guess you'd go
13	to that particular agency. So
L 4	MS. BEEBE: Well, then I guess they
15	are two separate things. You know, like,
L 6	there there is the definitely being
L7	comfortable reaching out, and I think
L8	that I know we do that. But I do
L 9	think the challenge is that there being
20	several different judges when you're
21	working with so many courts in one area
22	to have that relationship. You might
23	have that relationship with one or two of
24	them, but to build that relationship to
25	the point where you can have those

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	thoughtful conversations
2	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: And maybe
3	right. And maybe we just need to not
4	make it a personal relationship but make
5	it a system relationship, which is
6	here's the deal, the crisis center and
7	you know, through the DV program manager,
8	though, you know, whatever system we
9	devise, that's where you call whether you
10	know the person or not.
11	MS. BEEBE: And I think that's where
12	I was muddying it up a little bit was
13	because there to me there is that
14	clear systems piece, which is different
15	from the reaching out if there's been an
16	issue.
17	Which we would want also to come the
18	other way, too. Cause problems with
19	advocates, problems with all the
20	disciplines, but to be able to open up
21	those lines of communication that but
22	also be clear what everyone's role is
23	based on the systems piece.
24	MS. FREEMAN: And I do think that
25	COVID and staff turnover in the courts



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	and in the crisis centers has impacted
2	that. Because it has been more people,
3	then remote, there's been lots of
4	changing staff, so it's going to be
5	introducing new advocates and new court
6	staff to each other and their roles and
7	learning. And having advocates in the
8	courts meeting people, which has been
9	challenging over the last two years.
LO	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: And we haven't
11	heard much from the I think you guys
L2	call them system advocates but the
13	victim witnesses advocates. But I don't
L 4	know if there's a communication problem
15	there.
L 6	JUDGE CARBON: I don't know if there
L7	necessarily is there, but I think one
L 8	issue that when I listen to Judge
L 9	Yazinski and talk about his work with Deb
20	Mozden, that didn't just happen. I mean,
21	I think they really cultivated a
22	relationship. And you build trust over
23	time.
24	And so this is the kind of thing
25	that if you really want to



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	institutionalize, I think we need to
2	think back to the way that we created our
3	original coordinating councils back in
4	1994, I think, when we had the first
5	conference. And start doing that and
6	really cultivating within the community
7	so that it's not putting all of this
8	on everybody call Jean when you've got
9	a problem. But getting people to know
10	one another, to be familiar with one
11	another. Because nobody wants to be
12	calling anybody a total stranger
13	and saying, hey, this just happened. You
14	want to be able to receive it and hear it
15	in a genuine way and be open to saying,
16	okay, what did happen, and do we need to
17	make some change.
18	I will share also that serving on
19	the judicial conduct committee, we will
20	have complaints come in where somebody
21	will say as Amanda has suggested
22	that somebody was really rude and very
23	disrespectful and whatever may be. And
24	we listen to those hearings. And
25	sometimes the complaining party is spot-



	Domestic violence lask force - 01/2//2022
1	on. That judge was inappropriate. And
2	other times, there isn't what the
3	litigant is complaining of.
4	And so I just I just want to ask
5	Amanda, when you hear this from people,
6	is there somebody who goes back and would
7	listen to a hearing tape so that if
8	somebody said, we're getting these
9	complaints about Judge X or Judge Y, that
10	you've checked that and indeed you really
11	are hearing that, and so it's something
12	that we actually need
13	MS. SEXTON: Yes.
14	JUDGE CARBON: Okay. That's
15	(indiscernible)
16	MS. SEXTON: Judge Carbon, I will
17	I can assure that when I when we bring
18	something to the courts, I've listened to
19	the recording, that it's been well-
20	documented, and that everybody at the
21	Coalition agrees with either the
22	survivor, the community member, the
23	advocate, that it is a very serious issue
24	that the courts need to hear about.
25	JUDGE CARBON: Okav, thanks.



1	JUDGE HANTZ MARCONI: All right. I
2	have 2 p.m., which means it's 5.
3	So other thoughts on this topic of
4	communication? And again, if you have
5	thoughts that pop into your head once
6	we're done, send them in. Thoughts about
7	training materials, send them in. And
8	thoughts about what we're going to talk
9	about Monday.
10	I think we have a firearm issue that
11	popped up that we're going to talk about
12	Monday. Think about what else we can
13	talk about Monday. This is our grab bag.
14	Email them in, send them in, call them
15	in, and we will pick up again on Monday.
16	Also, I want you to think about
17	which topic or topics you would like to
18	be linked with so that our drafting team
19	can reach out and sort of vet their
20	initial draft with a member or two or
21	three of the task force as we draft so
22	that we can pull our thoughts together
23	and then circulate to the whole group.
24	So I want y'all to pick one, at least

one. If you're interested in more than

25

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 01/27/2022
1	one charge area, including Monday, which
2	is wide open.
3	Anything else that you think we need
4	to talk about, we haven't talked about
5	enough, we haven't, you know, drilled
6	down and gotten specific enough. I think
7	we're getting more comfortable with each
8	other, so no limits on what we talk about
9	Monday. And think about those two things
10	because I'll be looking for volunteers at
11	the end of that session or shortly
12	thereafter.
13	Okay. Thanks much to everyone.
14	Again, many thanks.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, Grace Markarian, certify that the
4	foregoing transcript is a true and
5	accurate record of the proceedings.
6	
7	
8	Drace Marten
10	Grace Markarian (CDLT-258)
11	TTA-Certified Digital Legal Transcriber
12	
13	eScribers
14	352 Seventh Avenue, Suite #604
15	New York, NY 10001
16	
17	Date: February 28, 2022
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



A	62:23	appear 36:10	39:12 41:25	39:1 41:21
ability 28:11	advocated 41:21	applicable 45:14	42:5	42:3,21 45:19
able 7:5 17:15	advocates 3:13	approach 25:11	Avenue 65:14	49:1,1,25 50:4
18:23 35:23	5:14 6:19 8:1	32:22	avenues 3:19	beneficial 54:10
39:1 43:5	9:2 11:8,9 12:7	approaches	10:6	57:6
44:18 50:13	17:25 18:13	32:19	aware 5:8	benefit 34:12
51:3 57:18	19:4 45:9	appropriate	Ayotte 23:16	48:14
59:20 61:14	59:19 60:5,7	13:4 38:18		benefits 17:12
absolutely 8:7	60:12,13	appropriation	B	best 26:2 32:3
38:24 42:15	Affairs 1:25	39:9	back 7:3 9:12,15	34:25
51:14 52:6	affidavit 53:23	area 17:5 33:5	12:18 16:15	Betsy 2:5 20:16
54:10	after-hours 46:6	50:3 55:3,4	18:24 35:1	25:24 30:19
abuse 12:15	AG's 23:5	58:21 64:1	44:6 47:4 50:6	32:12
26:24 30:2,9,9	agencies 25:17	areas 32:8 50:12	52:14 57:21	better 5:23
42:14 49:7,9	agency 58:13	50:14 53:1,25	61:2,3 62:6	21:21,25 27:4
abuser 30:12	ago 13:20 40:1,8	55:17	back-and-forth	32:17
access 43:13	53:8	arrive 4:2	6:16	beyond 55:1
accessible 19:7	agree 13:8 14:5	aside 41:18	backdoor 44:24	big 51:12
accurate 65:5	32:12 33:9	asked 53:10	background	bigger 56:7
accusatory 37:1	51:11	aspect 50:24	26:10,11	bit 40:24 46:9
acting 51:23	agrees 62:21	aspects 44:21	bad 12:16	47:24 59:12
action 10:4	ahead 12:23	assigned 33:20	bag 63:13	Black 2:3
actions 14:13	33:24 38:15	55:8	bailiff 7:12	board 56:13
actual 34:1	43:1,2	Assistance 1:23	bar 3:12,20,22	body 27:6
add 24:4 56:4	allowed 8:2	Assistant 1:17	4:12 25:23	brain 31:22
addition 24:9	allowing 7:15,16	Associate 1:11	31:15 33:12,21	brainstorm 22:5
additional 20:14	allows 40:19	Association 2:2	34:7 41:5 42:6	branch 22:18,19
38:18	alternative	Association's	44:7 45:4,7,17	22:20 40:3,5
address 18:3,7	52:20	3:20	45:19,20 46:4	brand-new 49:6
addressed 9:7	Amanda 1:24	associations	46:5,20	brass 56:2
10:8,12,12	6:5 12:25	4:13	Barbara 1:10	breakdown
15:16,17	13:12 15:10	assume 41:7	base 50:24	56:22
administrative	38:8 42:4	assure 62:17	based 15:7 27:5	bring 7:2 8:21
4:21 5:1 6:10	61:21 62:5	attacked 36:18	27:21 57:19	10:4 16:13,14
6:14 7:4,19	amazing 27:22	attend 41:3	59:23	18:19 34:1
8:22 14:22	41:25	45:20	basic 30:25	40:17 43:19
15:1,18 18:20	and/or 50:18	attention 16:14	basis 17:22 22:4	45:24 62:17
58:8	anecdotally 5:3	16:15 53:11	30:20 39:12	bringing 11:1
Administrator	55:13	attorney 1:17,20	57:12	24:16 44:24,25
2:8	angry 14:6	1:21 2:3,5	batterers 21:13	57:3
administrators	Ann 1:19	19:23 20:21	Beauchamp	brings 50:6
6:14 17:4	Anna 1:10	26:3 35:18	1:14 Beebe 1:16 24:3	broad 23:24
advise 5:15	Anne 2:11 28:4	36:6,11 38:6	24:6 56:4	51:18
advocacy 17:3	40:10	attorneys 2:2	58:14 59:11	broaden 34:8
29:12	annoyed 13:10	11:11 25:16	behavior 9:8	brought 3:6
advocate 7:12	14:6 15:13	35:12	believe 5:13	7:16 8:20
8:16 16:17,18	anybody 61:12	audience 45:15	51:22	11:11 24:8
23:5 27:19	AOC 10:18,19	available 5:13	bench 14:1 32:2	25:16,21 26:8
48:5 54:18	apologize 28:16	19:7,10 36:3	DCHCH 17.1 32.2	41:16 53:11
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>

				rage 2
brown-bag 4:11	43:12 47:8	children 26:18	comfortable	components
54:16 55:1	catalogue 47:23	29:25 30:5,10	36:5 53:3,4,5	9:24
57:5	catchment 55:3	31:9,10,11	53:25 58:17	composition
budget 40:3,19	caught 26:19	children's 30:15	64:7	23:25
budgets 38:6	Cause 57:10	choice 7:18	coming 22:3	concerns 6:18
build 17:11	59:18	circle 35:1	40:15 48:2	6:19 7:21 8:9
19:12 41:3	caused 53:14	circuit 1:12,13	51:6	8:12 11:7,10
58:24 60:22	CBT 29:4	2:7 4:20,25	commission	11:25
	CDLT-258	6:11 16:3,9	20:11,12,24	conduct 10:5
C	65:10	17:8 41:22	21:2 22:17	61:19
C 65:1,1	center 9:20 11:8	49:1	23:13 24:8	conference 7:14
call 57:12 58:5,7	17:3,24 18:13	circulate 63:23	committee 3:20	61:5
59:9 60:12	37:17 55:3,23	circumstances	10:5 19:25	conferences
61:8 63:14	56:5 59:6	52:19	20:4,12,19	45:22
called 26:22	centers 4:8 5:4,7	citizens 38:23	21:3,5,7,8,12	connected 57:14
calling 61:12	5:13 7:8 17:17	Claremont	21:13,16 23:21	connecting 55:2
calls 5:21	17:21 19:15	16:25	23:25 44:3	57:8
candidly 32:5	53:2 54:19	CLE 44:3,8	61:19	connection 20:5
Carbon 1:12	60:1	clear 59:14,22	committees	connects 13:23
13:8 20:16	certain 9:24	clearer 56:19	20:23,24 21:1	consistently
22:21 23:1,4,9	certainly 9:20	clerk 2:11 7:11	communicate	12:6 17:24
24:23 25:19,22	10:1 21:22	7:15,21 18:21	7:20	43:22 51:18,21
26:6,13 27:2	26:8 34:4	58:11	communicating	constellations
27:10,13 28:5	36:23 39:4,8	clinics 29:11	39:20	4:2
30:18 31:5	46:11 48:3	close 17:16	communication	contact 5:12
33:16 34:10,15	certify 65:3	closely 53:15	3:10,19 4:4,6	16:19 20:2
34:22 35:6	chair 23:18	co-occurrence	4:13 5:5 16:22	21:9 30:4,12
40:16 41:14,20	chaired 21:11	26:24	20:15 24:22	30:14
42:8,15,24	21:14 23:16	coalition 1:25	34:6 50:8,8,19	contacting 53:5
43:2 45:3 46:8	chairs 20:22	4:19,25 6:3,7	50:24 51:12	53:6
46:14,17 47:16	23:12	6:12 8:20,21	52:12 55:5	context 32:20,21
48:3,9 55:20	challenge 6:1	10:22 11:18,23	57:17 59:21	continually 32:1
60:16 62:14,16	47:11 55:15	29:12 56:2	60:14 63:4	continue 7:6 9:8
62:25	58:19	62:21	communicatio	24:21 28:11
Carbon's 24:7	challenges 56:11	coffee 48:13	7:10 54:12	31:25 32:3
54:7	challenging	cognitive 48:17	communities	39:15 49:21
carry 39:23	46:10 60:9	collaboration	24:18	continues 9:10
carrying 39:21	change 61:17	22:9 29:8	community 20:6	continuing 7:2
CASA 2:5	changes 24:10	39:19	25:24 26:1	14:10
case 5:22 13:16	changing 60:4	collaborative	51:20 54:20	contracting 42:1
14:17 32:22,25	charge 3:3 64:1	33:8	55:16 61:6	control 53:18
33:22 34:25	cheat 55:12	collaboratively	62:22	conversation
44:22,25	check-ins 5:19	36:21	complaining	6:16 33:6
case- 33:24	checked 62:10	come 25:1 34:11	61:25 62:3	52:17,25
cases 3:4 15:3,17	chief 7:1 13:21	39:6 44:18	complaints 9:23	conversations
18:16 21:10	chiefs 17:5	48:22 57:10	11:10 61:20	13:24 45:25
26:20 33:10,14	child 26:24 30:2	59:17 61:20	62:9	54:22 56:21
35:13 36:12	30:4 33:3 36:1	comes 14:8,16	completely	59:1
38:20 42:14	49:7,9	37:5	13:15 40:10	Cooperation
	,-			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	ı	I	1	1

				rage 5
3:21	50:24	53:4,9 54:5	directors 53:3	63:21
coordinating	created 21:4	60:19	discipline 25:8	drafted 28:8
54:14,16 57:4	61:2	Deb's 53:24	discipline-spe	drafting 63:18
61:3	creating 21:25	decide 15:3	24:25	drilled 64:5
correct 11:5	creative 40:14	decision 13:20	disciplines 39:7	drinking 48:12
48:23	crime 19:24	decisions 15:7	59:20	drive 16:10
correction 52:24	criminal 2:2	56:23	discuss 7:11	driving 17:1
corrections	3:11 26:10	dedicate 43:21	15:18	DV 20:3 22:12
25:22 50:17	cringe 13:2,2	deep 14:3 23:24	discussed 25:7	32:7,20,25
cost 17:7 40:21	cringing 31:3	defense 2:2 3:11	26:16	45:9 49:7,10
councils 54:15	crisis 4:8 5:4,7	11:11 25:16,23	discussing 36:7	55:17 59:7
54:16 57:5	5:13 7:8 9:20	26:10	discussion 25:13	
61:3	11:8 17:17,21	definitely 25:10	25:21 35:21	\mathbf{E}
counsel 33:19,20	17:24 18:12	30:6 44:11	48:10	E 65:1
34:12,18,20,20	19:15 54:18	58:16	disrespectful	e-filing 4:1
34:25	55:3,23 56:5	delve 46:7	61:23	earlier 15:10
country 22:10	59:6 60:1	denied 53:8	dissonance	53:4
county 1:17,18	critical 32:14	denying 53:12	48:18	ears 30:15
1:19 4:12 38:5	34:17 51:14	53:15	diverse 23:25	easier 13:17
46:5,20	critically 49:11	Department	document 15:10	35:5
couple 3:24	critique 50:21	23:17	15:11 28:2	educate 14:11
12:21 49:20	Cronheim 26:4	depth 26:9	documented	31:13 33:22
course 10:4 13:3	cross- 42:17	details 10:17	62:20	44:20
court 1:11,12,13	46:21	detour 40:24	Dodge 2:6 35:9	educated 30:21
2:7 3:14,15,23	crossover 26:16	develop 17:16	35:15	educating 13:25
4:7,9,20 5:5	32:18	developed 41:2	doing 12:5 16:18	32:4
7:1,20 8:21	cultivated 60:21	developing 47:3	21:25 22:2,13	education 21:12
13:19 15:20	cultivating 61:6	development	24:11 28:24	23:17 25:24
16:4,10,11,12	cut 15:24	31:22	31:7 32:3 44:9	47:20
17:8 18:21		develops 15:15	45:16 56:16	educational
19:2,19 22:25	D	devise 59:9	61:5	40:18
25:8 33:22	danger 12:14		DOJ 1:23	effects 31:20
34:2 44:15	51:24	different 11:2	dollar 37:19	effort 26:8
46:19 47:21	Dartmouth 29:3	22:2 23:11,12	dollars 38:2	either 8:10
49:1 51:6	data 44:25	23:14 24:14,18	domestic 1:6 2:9	35:25 53:13
54:21 56:11	Date 65:17	32:18,23,24	3:4,12 5:10	58:6,9 62:21
57:8,11 58:12	daunting 49:16	39:7 50:3	13:16 17:12,14	eliminated
60:5	day 9:16 12:19	56:11,22 58:20	18:10,15 19:21	40:11
courtroom 7:13	43:11 51:17	59:14	19:22 20:11	Elizabeth 23:16
13:1 14:12	day-long 44:12	difficult 14:17	26:25 32:19,20	email 17:23
54:6	days 19:8,8 42:2	Digital 65:11	42:13 54:15	63:14
courts 3:11,21	DCYF 25:18,19	diplomatic	dominate 15:23	empty 7:13
4:14 6:10,11	29:10	36:25	28:16	Endres 1:17
6:13 7:10,11	dead 31:6	direct 30:8 58:4	doors 32:23	enforcement
9:4 16:7 20:7	deadline 43:12	directed 51:7	dormant 20:13	3:11 18:14
58:21 59:25	deal 59:6	directly 7:20	DOVE 2:6 33:19	19:11,15 25:15
60:8 62:18,24	dealing 53:13	8:23	34:24	45:8 56:12
COVID 59:25	dealt 38:6	Director 1:14,16	Dr 28:17 29:2,18	58:12
create 47:22	Deb 16:12,19	1:22,24	draft 7:14 63:20	enhanced 39:2
		ĺ		
	•	•	'	1

				rage 4
38:19,21	facts 15:8	51:16 55:23	geography 4:10	26:23 28:25
entire 41:20	factual 34:1	follow 24:15,20	getting 24:18	31:2
equivalents	fair 12:12	foot 14:18	41:18,23 44:14	gripe 36:15
53:24	falls 30:20 33:4	force 1:6 3:3	45:11 47:8	ground 52:21
eScribers 65:13	familiar 16:7	44:5 63:21	48:6 52:21	group 2:4 23:15
established 16:3	27:22 61:10	fore 45:1	61:9 62:8 64:7	34:16 39:22
everybody 22:3	family 6:10	foregoing 65:4	give 14:7 28:4	48:5 52:8
45:9 54:20	26:11 35:25	form 35:1	37:14 53:6	63:23
61:8 62:20	fatality 20:3	formed 35:4	go 3:6 8:16	groups 4:5,16
everybody's	21:4,6 23:21	former 13:21	12:23 28:23	5:25 10:24
41:23 56:19	February 65:17	forth 18:24	33:2 38:15	29:12
everyone's	federal 19:18	forward 8:17	40:16 41:2	guess 21:6 25:5
59:22	26:20	11:11 22:7	43:1,2 46:20	25:14 52:3
evidence 34:2	feedback 18:24	33:8 39:21,23	50:4 58:9,12	56:1 58:5,12
evolving 32:1	50:13 51:4	four 3:6	God 30:16	58:14
exactly 27:18	feel 14:14,19	frame 27:9	goes 14:10 47:4	guided 12:11
example 4:7 8:8	31:14 36:17,18	frankly 32:17	62:6	guys 60:11
8:9 10:23	55:24,25	55:25 58:10	going 4:23 5:21	
21:12 34:24	feeling 30:24	Freeman 2:7	6:18 8:16 9:5	Н
45:5 53:7	fees 53:9,22	17:10 19:9,16	10:21 17:2	hair 31:5
examples 22:11	felt 12:10 16:17	27:15,19 28:3	25:10 29:17	half 16:11
excellent 54:19	fest 36:15	42:22 43:1,3,7	35:20 36:9	Hampshire 1:11
exchange 48:15	figure 49:22	43:18 46:23	37:9,23,24,25	1:25 2:1
executive 1:16	56:17	47:1 59:24	39:17 42:3	hand 51:3
20:19 21:7	file 7:17	Friday 37:4	44:2 47:4,6	Hantz 1:10 3:1
22:18,19,25	filing 17:23 53:9	40:15	49:4 51:12,14	7:22,25 8:5
experience 26:9	filling 30:15	front 15:8	52:7 55:10	9:12,15 10:15
experiencing	final 5:8 8:13	frustration	57:21 58:7,9	10:20 11:15
31:20	15:22 17:6	13:10	60:4 63:8,11	12:2,17 15:24
experiential	financial 53:18	full 21:2,8 35:3	good 4:3 12:15	17:9 19:6,14
32:11	53:23	fully 35:4	12:17 16:2,4,6	20:8 22:16,23
experts 40:17	find 28:3 31:14	function 4:9	17:9 22:6,11	23:3,8 24:5
explain 50:20	44:14 47:14	22:19	25:3 34:17	25:4,20 27:8
explained 51:5	finding 55:15	fund 47:13	gotten 64:6	27:17,25 28:6
explanation	firearm 63:10	funded 19:18	governor's	33:10,17 34:14
52:20	first 55:14 57:20	funding 26:20	20:10,20,23	34:19,23 35:8
exposed 29:6	61:4	28:10 40:2	23:13	35:9,14 38:14
31:9,11 41:13	five 19:7 49:2	43:19	grab 63:13	39:10 40:22
extent 45:12	51:20	funds 19:18	Grace 65:3,10	41:14,19 42:7
external 47:12	five-day 47:9	38:18	· ·	42:11,16 43:6
		58:18 further 12:15	Grady 1:24 Grafton 1:19	43:17 44:1,16
extraordinary	five-year 26:21 fix 57:1	51:24		44:19 46:4,12
12:5 31:23	flow 7:5	future 47:25	grant 39:11	46:15,18,24
extremely 57:6,7		14ture 4/:23	great 12:9,9,9	47:18 48:8
F	focus 29:1 33:7	G	18:17,23 19:4	50:5 52:11
F 65:1	50:9,11	general 20:21	19:5 22:12	54:25 55:22
fabulous 23:18	focused 4:16	36:20	24:5 26:9 28:6	58:1 59:2
facility 44:9	focusing 56:8	general's 19:24	41:7 42:19	60:10 63:1
fact 15:6 26:18	folks 5:14 10:2,7	general \$ 19.24 genuine 61:15	54:3	happen 7:23 8:6
1400 13.0 20.10	20:9 48:1 51:5	Schume 01.13	Greenbook	паррен 7.23 6.0
1	l		l	

				Tage 5
9:5 37:24	highly 17:1	 inappropriate	interview 12:12	23:1,3,4,8,9
52:25 60:20	historically	13:15 62:1	introducing	24:5,6,23 25:4
61:16	37:13	included 6:13	60:5	25:19,20,22
happened 27:20	Hodge 28:17	including 22:20	introduction	26:6,13 27:1,2
61:13	29:18	64:1	55:19	27:8,10,11,13
happening 9:16	home 7:16	incorporated	invested 22:1	27:16,17,18,23
happens 9:11	Hon 1:10,12,13	27:24	invite 55:18	27:25 28:5,6,7
28:13	Honor 6:6 11:5	incredible 27:6	inviting 55:4	29:18 30:1,17
happy 7:5	12:18 26:15	incredibly 54:24	involved 3:13	30:18 31:5
hard 10:2 53:20	hope 3:5 36:16	indiscernible	5:2 18:15	33:10,16,17
harmful 56:24	hoping 12:8	12:21 24:17,21	29:25	34:10,14,15,19
hat 47:19	horizon 34:8	27:12 29:9	involving 13:20	34:22,23 35:2
hate 10:16 30:17	Hornick 1:19	42:25 46:3	29:10,10,11	35:6,8,14 36:3
Haven 1:16	hotline 5:21	62:15	issue 16:16 35:2	36:10,16,17
head 63:5	hour 16:10,10	individual 15:7	41:18 59:16	38:14 39:10,24
health 28:22	hours 3:7	15:8,17 17:17	60:18 62:23	40:9,13,16,22
29:11	human 13:9,22	18:2	63:10	41:14,19,20
hear 4:24 5:23	hundred 38:1	individuals 20:2	issues 4:1,2 6:21	42:7,8,11,15
8:12 10:7	hundred-milli	20:22	7:19 9:3,13	42:16,24 43:2
11:24 12:6	37:18	Informal 4:4	10:1 17:25	43:6,17,21
13:2 18:5	hundreds 38:1	information 7:6	18:8 21:11,24	44:1,16,19
30:24 31:4	hung 29:19	18:19 20:3	24:20 28:23	45:3 46:4,8,12
51:25 61:14		41:24	37:1 52:10	46:14,15,17,18
62:5,24	I	initial 63:20		46:24 47:16,18
heard 5:3 6:25	i.e 25:8	Inns 46:19	J	48:3,8,9,23
8:11,14 9:23	idea 30:23	instance 19:23	Jack 15:2 32:12	49:3 50:5 51:1
9:23 10:8	ideal 44:23 48:6	institute 49:19	January 1:7	51:17 52:11
12:11 60:11	49:18	55:8	Jean 2:9 5:12	53:1 54:2,7,25
hearing 8:14,17	ideas 5:23 48:15	institutes 49:9	17:23 58:10	55:20,22 58:1
11:7 15:15	48:16	institutionalize	61:8	58:6,7,8 59:2
26:17 28:18	identified 52:7	5:24 61:1	Jean's 17:13	60:10,16,18
29:24 32:20	identify 41:8	institutionalized		62:1,9,9,14,16
35:5 37:4,4	immediately	3:19	job 32:17	62:25 63:1
51:15,17 52:18	16:19	integral 23:10	John 1:13	judgement
62:7,11	impacted 60:1	intended 14:3	Jon 2:1	52:23
hearings 47:9	impactful 15:12	interest 5:25	judge 1:12,13	judges 3:15 6:14
61:24	18:1	interested 7:2	3:1 4:21 5:1,1	6:20 7:4 8:10
Held 1:8	impacts 45:6,7,8	10:24 39:3	6:9,10,15 7:22	8:22 12:4 13:9
help 25:25 33:21	45:8	63:25	7:25 8:5,10,14	13:21,25 14:11
50:9,15	importance	interesting 27:8	9:12,15 10:15	14:22 15:6,18
helpful 10:6	34:17	45:4 46:25	10:20 11:15	16:6,10 17:18
35:16 48:4	important 15:9	internal 34:4	12:2,8,17,20	19:2 28:9,19
57:8,18	32:13 35:24	47:12,21	12:24 13:1,8	29:6,7 30:7,13
helps 50:24	49:11,15	interpersonal	13:13 15:1,4,5	30:21 31:13
hesitancy 56:7	importantly	28:23	15:14,24 16:1	32:4 35:11
hesitant 55:25	3:14	intersection	16:25 17:9,18	36:7,24 37:15
56:1	improve 52:5	42:12	18:21,24 19:6	39:1 40:4 41:6
hey 15:2 36:20	improved 51:11	intervention	19:14 20:8,16	42:21 43:7,9
46:12 61:13	inactions 14:13	21:13	22:16,21,23	44:14,20 45:10
		l		

				Page 6
47:5 49:12,19	38:7 39:25	65:11	lost 15:14	58:1 59:2
51:21,25 53:6	42:4,5,13,17	legislative 22:22	lot 22:13 31:24	60:10 63:1
54:1 55:4,8,13	44:4,8 45:10	legislature 23:23	32:2 33:8	Markarian 65:3
56:9 58:20	45:12 46:21	37:13 38:7,11	34:11,15 39:19	65:10
judicial 3:5 10:5	48:21,24 50:10	38:18	40:2 45:11	Martha 1:19
22:20 40:3	51:4,8,15,24	lengths 12:10	49:23	Mary 1:21 14:4
42:10 45:6	52:6 54:4,4,11	let's 36:21	lots 31:25 53:19	material 41:16
61:19	55:9,13,24	levels 7:3	55:17 60:3	materials 63:7
jump 30:18	56:6,10 57:4,9	liaison 19:19	love 32:9	matter 23:14
jurisdictions	57:21,23 58:6	lies 9:1	lunch 3:6 55:1	mean 15:22
22:15	58:10,15,18	limits 64:8	lunches 4:11	22:17 25:17
justice 1:11 7:1	59:7,8,10	lines 4:6 5:4 7:9	54:17 55:6	29:22 30:6
11:14 12:20	60:14,16 61:9	28:8 54:11	57:5	31:14 33:23
13:21 35:9	64:5	59:21	Lynda 1:22	34:11 35:10,15
41:14 43:13	knowing 52:14	link 3:22 33:12	Lynn 13:21	35:16,17 36:22
55:20	Knowles 23:22	linked 63:18		36:24 37:8,22
	knows 6:4 30:16	Lisa 28:4	M	38:4,10 39:18
K	38:2	listen 60:18	majority 17:20	44:23 46:16
Kathy 1:16 25:3	Krueger 1:21	61:24 62:7	33:13	50:23 52:15
keep 13:7 14:25	14:4	listened 62:18	making 30:24	55:6,13 57:21
Kelly 6:9,15		listening 12:25	51:22	60:20
15:4 23:15	L	listservs 4:15	man 14:18	meaning 14:6
key 20:21	lack 52:22	literally 21:19	manager 2:9	means 37:6 63:2
kidding 3:7	LaFrance 2:3	litigant 62:3	17:13,15 18:11	medical 25:24
kids 27:4 31:17	29:16 36:11	little 3:18 40:23	19:22 59:7	meet 22:4 43:11
31:19 36:4	43:23 44:2,17	46:9 47:24	managers 5:11	53:10
Kilham 2:9	land 11:17	49:24 59:12	Marconi 1:10	meeting 1:6 3:3
kind 4:22 8:2	large 26:2	living 48:12	3:1 7:22,25 8:5	4:24 20:4
22:9 29:17	larger 47:10	local 4:7 7:20	9:12,15 10:15	45:17 54:14
48:13 51:8	law 2:4,11 3:11	29:10	10:20 11:15	60:8
58:6 60:24	18:13 19:10,14	localized 40:20	12:2,17,20	meetings 4:18
King 15:5	25:15 26:11	54:21	15:24 17:9	6:3,12 7:3 8:24
knew 16:8	44:21 45:8	locally 24:15	19:6,14 20:8	10:16,22,23
know 4:1,4,16	50:2 56:12	long 3:8 5:10	22:16,23 23:3	11:1 14:21
4:23 5:6,14	58:12	23:18 39:18	23:8 24:5 25:4	21:17 23:10
6:24,24 8:23	lawsuit 37:25	53:20 55:22	25:20 27:8,17	45:20 46:5,5
9:22,25 10:17	lawyers 31:16	longer 20:10	27:25 28:6	46:20
13:11 14:16	35:19 40:4	31:9	33:10,17 34:14	member 7:8
15:13 16:1	44:20	look 25:15 26:23	34:19,23 35:8	21:16 23:22
17:3,3,18	lay 11:17	37:16,17 53:14	35:14 38:14	62:22 63:20
21:19,21 24:19	layers 20:18	53:22,23	39:10 40:22	members 11:23
27:3 29:25	21:6	looked 31:21	41:15,19 42:7	20:25 41:4,5
30:2,8,10,11	leadership 4:25	54:6	42:11,16 43:6	memories 20:10
30:15 31:16,19	leading 22:10 learn 48:11 50:1	looking 37:18,22	43:17 44:1,16	mental 28:22
32:9 33:13,23		44:5 53:17	44:19 46:4,12	29:11
34:23 35:18,19 35:22 36:12,14	learning 60:7 leave 10:10	64:10	46:15,18,24 47:18 48:8	mentioned
36:16,20 37:10	left 8:15	looks 5:18 6:5	50:5 52:11	33:11 34:6
37:15,16 38:5	Legal 2:6 3:14	loops 31:7	54:25 55:20,22	54:14
37.13,10 30.3	11cgai 2.0 3.14	lose 29:14	JT.23 33.2U,22	mentioning 20:8
				l

	I	I	I	I
Merrill 1:14	49:7,7,12,17	occurring 15:12	outside 40:20	Patty 30:23
Merrimack 1:18	49:22 50:14,15	30:19 56:22		paying 38:1
met 21:1	50:18 52:17	offer 44:7	<u>P</u>	payout 37:19
middle 26:19	55:18 59:3	office 1:22 20:20	p.m 63:2	people 4:5 11:12
million 38:2	61:1,16 62:12	23:5	pace 48:1	14:12 21:23
mind 13:7 14:25	62:24 64:3	officers 45:7	Paine 2:5 12:18	23:13 32:22
36:23	needed 39:4	offices 4:8	12:23 26:3,7	34:11 40:16
missing 25:25	53:16	oftentimes 31:14	26:14	41:2,13 45:24
33:12	needs 27:7 45:10	Oh 44:2	painful 26:17	46:20 47:14
modelling 22:14	52:25	okay 12:2 23:3	Pam 2:6 26:11	48:6,11,14
modules 47:25	neglect 42:14	27:17 42:2	paper 3:18	49:6,17,24
Monday 63:9,12	network 51:19	61:16 62:14,25	papers 28:1	50:9 51:2,19
63:13,15 64:1	never 13:4	64:13	paperwork 7:15	51:22 52:8
64:9	new 1:11,25 2:1	old 14:18	18:5	55:11 56:16
money 37:6,11	21:25 32:1	old- 49:3	parent 30:3	57:3 60:2,8
37:20 39:12	44:25 49:1,25	once 34:20 50:2	parent's 33:3	61:9 62:5
40:17,21	60:5,5 65:15	52:3,4 63:5	parenting 32:21	perceived 50:17
month 21:23	NH 1:16,23 2:5	one- 18:3	33:2	perception 9:17
monthly 22:4	NHBA 2:6	one-on-one 11:1	part 23:10 26:5	14:11
months 49:20	NHJB 2:9	ongoing 4:5 5:4	26:7,11 27:1,2	period 4:17 6:8
55:10	NHLA 1:21	21:9 24:9 25:2	31:1 32:7 40:5	22:8 23:18
motions 53:9	NHSC 2:11	50:8 51:13	41:17 44:4	26:21,22
move 55:14	nice 40:23	52:22	52:12,24	periodic 5:19
moved 23:19	Nicolosi 35:2	online 44:10	participate 47:5	perpetuate
moving 17:18	non- 35:17	47:23	participated	39:15
22:6 33:8	non-attorney	Oops 12:23	54:17	person 15:13
Mozden 16:12	36:9	open 4:6 5:4,24	particular 5:22	18:18 51:9
53:4,10 60:20	nonissue 36:5	7:9 16:21	11:14 21:11	59:10
muddying 59:12	nonpartisan	52:17 54:11	33:22 44:25	personal 51:7
multi 20:18	23:15	59:20 61:15	50:20,21 51:16	59:4
multi-member	normally 31:6	64:2	58:11,13	personalities
23:20	note 26:15	opportunities	particularly	57:11
multidisciplin	notice 38:11	5:19 21:18	14:15	personality 4:10
24:12,17,24	number 19:7,10	opportunity	parties 14:2	personally 36:17
25:11 45:15,23	33:1 53:8	5:17 12:4,13	23:14 33:15	personnel 10:1
45:25 56:8	numbers 48:25	20:14 33:25	partly 19:18	37:12 56:12
multiply 49:14	55:11	34:8 48:10,15	parts 24:14	57:9,11
	NY 65:15	57:3	53:19	perspective 9:10
N		optimist 46:13	party 14:13	perspectives
N 65:1	0	order 29:24	61:25	22:2
name 3:15	O 65:1	30:13 35:13	pass 18:23	petition 7:14
names 52:1	observation	orders 19:11	pasture 49:5	35:4
nearly 32:5	48:21	organization	Patricia 2:3	ph 13:19 23:17
necessarily	obtain 35:23	45:18	pattern 8:10 9:8	23:22
60:17	obviously 4:15	organizations	11:13 15:15	philosophical
need 5:20 25:10	13:14 28:20	42:9	25:5 50:21	32:18
30:7 32:16	44:9	original 21:16	52:22	philosophies
33:6,7 37:10	occur 29:14	61:3	patterns 15:10	32:24
37:11,11 41:12	occurred 14:23	outcome 12:7	15:11 18:6,7	phone 5:12 15:2
	ı	ı	ı	I

T				
19:6 55:11,24	presume 40:24	prosecutors	really 6:15 12:5	relationships
56:3	pretty 27:21	3:12 11:12	12:13 14:19	17:16 18:2
physical 30:9	49:5	25:15	15:9 16:9	19:12
pick 15:1 55:24	prioritize 50:12	protective 19:11	17:16 18:1,9	relief 35:24
56:2 63:15,24	50:14,16	35:12	18:16,22 19:1	57:15
piece 3:18 24:3,7	prioritized	protocol 57:23	19:1 20:5 22:6	remember 14:23
43:8 57:2	38:23	protocols 24:10	23:23 26:1	40:1
59:14,23	probably 51:11	24:11 28:8	29:1 31:8 37:3	reminds 28:15
pieces 29:13	problem 16:19	56:15,17 57:22	47:10 48:11,14	remote 60:3
piggyback 29:17	21:24 22:5	58:2,4	48:16 49:13,15	removing 16:6
pilot 17:23	36:22 52:14	provide 43:13	57:16 60:21,25	report 44:6
pipeline 11:19	55:6 58:5,8	psychologists	61:6,22 62:10	reported 11:16
pipelines 11:21	60:14 61:9	25:23	reasons 13:3	11:17 52:18
place 10:18	problematic	PTSD 28:22	receive 61:14	represent 29:23
18:20 26:16	52:9	29:2	received 14:2	represented
players 16:8	problems 59:18	public 1:24 9:10	recognize 15:20	33:15
plenty 5:17	59:19	21:12 26:17	32:14 49:23	representing
Plymouth 27:20	proceedings	28:18 37:3	recognized	34:18
27:21	65:5	published 5:15	13:19	reputation
point 5:8 14:21	process 5:12	pull 63:22	recollection 40:6	22:10
15:22 17:6	12:12 35:5	purpose 3:25	recommend	request 53:22
25:3 46:1 55:2	41:4 43:12	put 3:17 37:20	39:8	require 43:14
58:25	56:25	49:4	recommendati	research 31:21
points 16:13	processing 47:7	putting 51:23	38:17	reside 28:2
17:9 53:14	47:8	61:7	recommendati	resolved 16:20
police 17:4	productive 6:23		41:10	27:3
pop 63:5	8:25	Q	record 26:18	resonate 48:17
popped 63:11	program 1:15	quarterly 4:19	65:5	resources 41:17
portion 53:17	2:6,10 5:10	6:12 8:24	recording 62:19	47:12 55:15
portray 14:1	17:13,14 18:11	40:14	recreating 41:11	respectful 15:6
Portsmouth	19:22 29:4	question 9:1,4	referencing	responding 5:5
17:1	33:19 39:16	9:11 52:3 54:3	42:18 46:22	response 9:18
position 17:12	44:13 46:16,16	quite 5:9 58:3	reflection 25:6	responsibility
17:14 19:17,17	59:7		25:12,13	56:20
57:7	programing	R	regional 24:12	restraining
potentially 18:7	47:3	R 65:1	24:13	29:24
35:11	programming	raised 9:3,14	regular 4:18	restraints 10:13
powerful 18:10	40:19	13:13	21:9,17 22:4	retired 23:19
practice 7:7	programs 7:9	raising 51:1	30:20 39:12	revamp 53:16
27:4 45:6 50:2	9:20 41:1,8	ran 28:10,10,11	regularly 14:24	review 3:4 20:3
practice-area-	45:5	reach 23:24 26:1	21:1	21:4 23:21
4:15	prohibiting 7:12	63:19	reinstitute 54:23	reviewed 9:7
present 1:9 36:4	project 17:23	reaching 57:19	reinstituting	reviewing 21:10
36:25 40:18	26:22,23 28:12	58:17 59:15	8:24	21:24
presented 28:9	projects 22:14	reading 57:22	related 19:20	revised 57:23
36:13,20	prongs 34:3	real 5:16 7:23	relationship	revisions 58:2
presenting 34:9	proper 37:20	8:6 40:18	54:5,9 58:22	right 3:2 10:20
34:21,25 44:22	prosecution	real-time 5:20	58:23,24 59:4	11:3 18:4,19
presents 51:9	25:23	realistic 49:18	59:5 60:22	26:6,6 27:20
		49:22		

				Page 9
21 7 22 16	25.015.44.6	1		l a 21.2
31:7 33:16	37:8,15 44:6	sits 19:23	staff 3:15 4:9	Sue 31:3
34:10,14,19	seeing 6:21 25:4	sitting 6:9 8:13	5:6 6:13,22	suffer 30:10
37:17 38:9,24	25:5,12,12	12:24 16:8,25	8:22 11:22	suggested 61:21
38:25 40:9,12	35:22 37:16	21:23 27:14	16:24 19:2	suggesting 35:10
41:19 42:7,15	seeking 57:15	35:19 48:12	22:24 23:2	Suite 65:14
42:16,20 43:6	seemingly 52:9	52:16	37:21 38:4,19	Sununu 37:17
50:15 59:3	56:24	situation 13:12	41:6 43:5,10	superior 41:22
63:1	seen 9:25 38:9	37:22	43:21 44:15	supervised 36:1
rights 33:3	39:11	situations 52:15	47:6,21 58:9	Supervisory
role 18:11,17,18	self- 33:14	six 14:18 50:10	58:10 59:25	2:11
56:19 59:22	send 49:19 50:9	51:20	60:4,6	supported 22:17
roles 60:6	63:6,7,14	slashed 40:7	stakeholders	22:24
roll 46:19	sense 13:9 14:7	slew 20:1	3:10 10:24	supposed 56:16
rolled 17:22	34:5 35:20	Social 25:17	11:2,24 18:14	Supreme 1:11
room 7:14 48:12	56:19	solve 22:5 36:22	19:13,20 20:1	6:25 13:18
Rosenburg 29:3	separate 10:25	39:17 55:5	20:15	15:20 41:23
roughly 27:9	11:18 58:15	solving 21:24	staring 14:17	sure 4:18 5:9
roundtable	series 25:2	somebody 29:23	start 6:2 39:14	11:20 20:16
19:25 35:11,21	serious 62:23	42:1 54:13	61:5	31:3 37:14
36:6,23	served 3:23	61:20,22 62:6	started 27:1	45:18 57:13,13
routine 4:24	serves 3:25	62:8	50:6	58:3
routinely 11:22	service 25:17	somewhat 20:13	state 22:6 33:6	survey 47:22
11:23	serving 61:18	soon 49:5	46:11 52:2	survivor 62:22
rude 61:22	session 64:11	sorry 38:16 43:1	state's 37:25	survivors 9:21
Ruel 1:22	set 41:18	43:25	statewide 29:5	Susan 1:12
ruled 36:3	setting 4:22	sort 4:1 5:16	41:22 45:22	suspect 54:22
	13:15 21:20	6:17 7:18 11:6	status 6:17	sustainable
S	36:6	12:11 23:6	statutes 43:14	28:13 39:22
safety 33:1,4	Seven 19:8	33:4 39:9	statutory 43:12	system 3:5 11:13
38:22	seventh 3:2	45:20 47:22	steering 19:24	11:25 41:6
Sarah 2:7 5:11	65:14	57:17 58:11	21:3	47:11 59:5,8
30:18 32:12	Sexton 1:24 6:6	63:19	stellar 22:11	60:12
43:2 44:13	7:24 8:4,7 9:13	sounds 22:18	Steven 1:17	systematic 56:14
47:16 48:24	9:19 10:19	27:4 43:24	straight 31:6	systemic 18:8
57:6	11:4,20 12:3	space 47:15	stranger 61:12	systems 3:23
saying 24:7 30:1	38:12,16 43:24	spawned 22:13	Strasburger 2:1	11:9 59:14,23
33:23 43:4	45:2 51:10	speak 41:6	street 50:19	systemwide 47:3
51:16 61:13,15	54:2 62:13,16	speaking 17:21	strong 29:1	
scaring 51:2	share 48:20	29:19 35:17	51:25	T
schedule 23:9	61:18	speaks 13:12	structural 3:25	T 65:1,1
school 17:4	sheet 55:12	17:24	structure 3:17	table 4:22 8:2
science 31:19	shelter 53:13	specific 10:3	struggle 57:16	21:19 22:3
33:24	shortage 35:7	11:25 33:25	stuff 25:8,9	Tadley 13:19
Scott 21:14,14	shortly 64:11	64:6	41:12 48:19	tailored 40:20
screen 21:15	show 12:14	specifically 4:20	subcommittee	take 10:18 18:18
secretariat 23:7	significant 9:13	spent 34:13	47:20	29:1 35:12
see 11:13 21:14	simply 15:1	sporadically	substantive	38:11 42:21
29:22 31:17	single 43:10	4:12	23:11	58:7
32:9 36:21	sit 8:2 38:11	spot- 61:25	successful 24:2	taken 27:7 37:19
	510.2 50.11	Spot 01.23	Successiui 27.2	
	I	I	I	I

				Page 10
takes 31:24	think 6:8,22 7:7	31:24 32:6,10	transcript 65:4	32:17 40:6
36:12	7:25 8:23,25	34:15 37:6,11	trauma 28:22	50:25 56:15
talk 3:9 12:4	9:1,4,9,10,19	38:4,25 41:18	29:2 45:10,11	unfortunately
29:20 31:10	9:21,24 10:2,6	42:20,21,23	trauma-focused	28:14
48:15 60:19	10:9,10 11:4,6	43:4,20,21,21	29:4	universal 5:7
63:8,11,13	13:8,23 14:4	44:14 46:2	trauma-infor	unrepresented
64:4,8	14:10 15:9	60:23	45:5	33:14
talked 25:9 64:4	17:11 18:9,22	timers 49:4	trends 35:21	unsupervised
talking 32:25	20:13 24:1	times 13:17,18	tried 23:24 26:1	30:14
33:2 34:16	26:3 27:15	14:5 23:12	26:23	updates 24:11
39:18 43:15	30:6 32:6,11	62:2	true 65:4	use 31:9
49:8 53:25	32:14,16,22	today 3:9 37:5	truly 45:23	utero 31:13,19
tape 62:7	33:5,11 36:12	told 8:15	trust 60:22	
task 1:6 3:3 44:4	36:24 38:12,16	ton 34:5	try 46:10	V
49:16 63:21	38:24 39:2,3,5	tone 14:8	trying 11:16	valuable 16:22
team 63:18	39:6,11,25	tone 14.8	31:12 43:11	16:23,23,24
telephone 21:21	42:12 44:11	Toomey 23:17	47:2,19,22	54:8,24
tell 40:25	46:8 47:2 48:6	top 33:4 56:2	56:17 57:1	various 3:22
temper 15:14	49:17 50:7,19	topic 42:18	TTA-Certified	44:20
ten 40:7 46:10	50:23 51:10	49:11,12 63:3	65:11	vast 33:13
tend 40:21	52:11,24 53:20	63:17	turn 44:12	versus 7:18
term 39:18	53:21,24 54:8	topics 25:7	Turning 16:12	vet 48:16 63:19
terms 3:25 7:7	54:9,13 55:21	49:16 63:17	53:13	victim 1:14 7:13
26:9 50:7	56:6,7 57:3,6	total 61:12	turnover 59:25	7:16 8:11,12
56:14	58:1,17,19	touches 45:9	turns 36:14	8:15 12:10,14
testified 26:4	59:11,24 60:11	track 29:15	twenty-one 40:1	14:15 23:4
28:19	60:17,21 61:1	train 29:5 43:5	two 13:4 14:18	60:13
testimony 27:5	61:2,4 63:10	47:14	21:6 32:23	Victim/Witness
thanks 6:6 62:25	63:12,16 64:3	trained 28:21	34:3 58:15,23	1:23
64:13,14	64:6,9	30:21 31:8	60:9 63:20	victims 9:2
That'd 46:24	thinking 30:11	trainer 43:20	64:9	19:24 51:23
themes 6:20	33:19 34:3	training 24:9	two-way 50:19	56:24 57:14,19
they'd 37:19	41:9 58:5	28:20 30:7	type 50:16	violence 1:6
thing 4:3 8:1,3	third 48:25	31:12,15,25	typical 35:12	2:10 3:4,12
35:16 37:2	thoughtful 59:1	32:2,6,10,11		5:10 13:16
45:21 48:13	thoughts 25:14	34:4,24 37:6	U	17:13,14 18:11
49:9 55:14	63:3,5,6,8,22	37:21 38:3,19	Uh 31:5	18:16 19:21,22
60:24	three 21:5 63:21	39:2 40:4	ultimately 23:6	20:12 26:25
things 5:21 6:17	three- 47:9	41:25 42:10	29:5	28:24 31:11,20
7:11 8:17,19	three-year 26:21	44:7,10,18	Um-hum 7:24	32:19,21 42:13
9:16 10:8	throwing 36:8	45:11,13 47:13	34:22 35:6	54:15
12:22 13:6	tier 33:4	49:20,24 50:10	umpteen 49:15	virtual 1:6 21:20
14:24 15:4	till 50:9	50:16 51:13	uncomfortable	visible 45:18
16:2,5,5 18:7	time 3:8 4:11,14	63:7	14:14	visitation 36:1,2
18:10,17,25	4:14,17 5:16	trainings 24:12	understand 10:3	voice 13:13,13
19:1,2,3,5	6:8 7:23 8:6	24:13 25:2	10:13 13:25	14:4,8 51:2
28:14,17 40:21	15:25 22:8	40:15 47:23	14:20 51:1	volunteers 64:10
52:5 58:15	23:19,23 24:10	Transcriber	understanding	vulnerable
64:9	27:9 28:10	65:11	3:16 10:11	38:22
		•	•	·

Page 11

				Page 11
	57:12	10.25		
W	- '	wrong 40:25	2	
waiting 8:13	weeks 50:10	48:24	2 63:2	
waive 53:9,22	Welcome 3:2	<u> </u>	2001 6:8	
waivers 53:12	well- 62:19	\overline{X} 42:2 51:17	2005 27:15	
want 3:9 4:23	well-trained	62:9	2007 27:15	
5:22 15:2	49:13	02.9	2013 57:25	
28:16 37:2,14	went 12:9 29:5	Y	2022 1:7 65:17	
40:7 43:15	53:19	Y 62:9	27 1:7	
59:17 60:25	weren't 31:17	y'all 63:24	28 65:17	
61:14 62:4	36:4	Yazinski 1:13		
63:16,24	wheel 41:11	12:20,24 16:1	3	
wanted 16:13	white-haired	27:1,11,16,18	352 65:14	
29:20	14:18	27:23 28:7	4	
wants 61:11	wide 64:2	30:17 39:24		
warranted	wife 30:2	40:9,13 48:23	5	
50:22	William 23:21	49:3 53:1 54:2	5 63:2	
wasn't 8:16	willing 55:23	60:19	303.2	
watched 28:18	wish 54:3,6	yeah 8:4 23:8	6	
way 14:2,6 15:3	wished 29:19	26:14 27:16,18	63:3	
20:9 24:2	witness 1:15	29:16,22 30:17	603 2:6 3:13	
28:12 38:21	23:5 31:18	31:5 35:8 40:9	604 65:14	
39:5 44:24	witnessed 30:5	41:15 44:1	633:3-a 7:17	
50:7 51:8,23	witnesses 60:13	45:2 46:14		
53:17 54:19	witnessing 30:9	year 50:10	7	
59:18 61:2,15	31:10	years 3:24 13:20		
ways 21:25	woman 14:16	20:17 29:3,9	8	
we're 7:4 11:7	women 53:12	37:9 40:1,7		
31:15 32:25	wonder 44:22	49:2 53:8 60:9	9	
33:2 37:16	words 51:25	yell 8:14		
39:17,18 41:11	work 6:3 12:6	yelled 8:11 13:1		
42:2 43:8,15	22:1,6,12,13	51:17		
44:9 46:1 47:7	23:11 24:24,25	Yep 19:9 24:23		
47:7,8,18 48:4	27:6,22 28:1,1	25:19 26:13		
49:13 57:1,13	28:25 33:8			
57:14 62:8	35:7 36:21	28:5 35:6 43:17 46:17		
63:6,8,11 64:7	39:22 41:5	York 65:15		
we've 6:25 8:11	42:9 52:4	young 54:18		
9:3 11:10	60:19	young 54.18		
13:24 16:21	worked 5:2 29:2	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$		
25:7,9 31:12	31:1 47:2	Zinkin 2:11		
31:21 32:2,3	working 9:22	39:24 40:12		
34:12 39:11	11:12 19:1,3,4	Zoom 44:10		
41:16,21 43:25	19:5 21:18			
48:25 49:8	51:19 58:21	0		
51:10 52:7	works 57:24			
wear 47:20	58:3	1		
Webex 1:8	world 49:18	10001 65:15		
week 19:8,8	wouldn't 36:23	173-B 7:18 8:13		
weekly 17:22	37:21	1994 61:4		
	•	•		•